

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 450

Vexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

			_			
APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,061	10/612,061 06/30/2003		Eric L. Debes	42P15765	3549	
8791	7590 08/16/2006			EXAMINER		
BLAKELY	SOKOLO	OFF TAYLOR &	MALZAHN	MALZAHN, DAVID H		
12400 WILS	SHIRE BOU	JLEVARD				
SEVENTH 1	FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90025-1030		2193		

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/612,06	1	DEBES ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		David H. M	•	2193					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)									
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims	·							
4)⊠	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) <u>39-41</u> is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-38 and 42-51</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	Claim(s)are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	quirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for Included All bol Some * c) None of:	reign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	o-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	נסטים	6) Other:	atont ripphoadon (i° 1)	<i>∪ 10=)</i>				

Application/Control Number: 10/612,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-38 and 44-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed to a method, process or system for performing a sequence of steps which is merely a program per se. While the program contains functionally descriptive material the recording of the functionally descriptive material on some computer-readable medium which would enable the functionality to be realized fails to be recited. Relative to claims 28 and 44 the recitation of machine readable medium is not sufficient in view of the definition of machine readable medium on pages 9-10 of the specification which includes transmission medium including carrier waves.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,061

Art Unit: 2193

3. Claims 11-14, 44-46 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rice et al (Rice).

Page 3

Rice's Fig. 5 illustrates a method of rearranging data wherein first and second data are loaded (the contents of register 504), a first and a second control mask are loaded (the contents of register 508), two control masks which are different selectively operate on different data (the masks via multiplexer control select the date to be operated on), shuffling the data in accordance with the masks (effected by the multiplexers) and merging of the shuffled data (the feeding of the outputs of the multiplexers to the register 524).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. At least claims 11 and 44 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-72 of copending

Application/Control Number: 10/612,061 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

Application No. 10/280,511. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are merely a broaden version of the current claim in the application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 6, 8, 9, 17-27, 31-33, 37,38, 42, 43, 48, 49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6 the phrases "table data element", line 4 and "said plurality of table sections", lines 6-7 lack clear antecedent basis. Similarly note claims 17, 31, 37, 42 and 48. In claim 8, last line "no" should be deleted. Similarly note claims 20, 33, 38, 43 and 51. In claim 9 the phrase "each single instruction multiple data register" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 39-41 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 42 and 43 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,061 Page 5

Art Unit: 2193

Specification

9. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

10. On page 2 Serial Nos. are lacking and in line 8 the filing date is incorrect.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Malzahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-3727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on 571-272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,061

Art Unit: 2193

David H. Malzahn Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193